**Original Article** 

# Association of neck circumference as an indicator of upper body obesity with cardio-metabolic risk factors among first degree relatives of diabetes patients

Hadi Abdolahi, Bijan Iraj<sup>1</sup>, Maryam Mirpourian<sup>2</sup>, Behzad Shariatifar<sup>2</sup>

Departments of Internal Medicine and Endocrinology, <sup>1</sup>Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center, <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

**Background:** The aim of the present study was to determine the relation between neck circumferences (NC), as an indicator of upper body obesity, with anthropometric and cardio-metabolic factors among the first degree relatives of diabetes patients.

**Materials and Methods:** This cross-sectional study was performed on first degree relatives of diabetes patients (n = 213). Weight, height, waist circumference (WC), hip circumference (HC), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and NC were measured. Laboratory data included oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) results, high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein, triglyceride (TG) and total cholesterol.

**Results**: There was no difference in NC among different results of OGTT in men or women. Factors including weight, body mass index (BMI), WC and HC were strongly associated with NC in both genders (r = 0.420-0.711). NC was weakly associated with SBP in women (r = 0.195) and moderately with DBP in men (r = 0.314). Regarding lipid profile, HDL and TG were associated with NC only in women (r = -0.268-0.325).

**Conclusions:** NC has a significant correlation with gender and anthropometric variables including BMI, weight and waist and HCs in both men and women but it does not differ significantly in patients with different status in OGTT.

Key Words: Body mass index, cardiovascular, diabetes, neck circumference, obesity

#### Address for correspondence:

Dr. Bijan Iraj, Department of Endocrinology, Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Hezar Jarib Street, Isfahan - 81746 73461, Iran. E-mail: bijaniraj@gmail.com

Received: 29.10.2013, Accepted: 16.01.2014

Access this article online						
Quick Response Code:						
	www.advbiores.net					
	<b>DOI:</b> 10.4103/2277-9175.145740					

#### INTRODUCTION

Now-a-days, obesity is became a major concern and important public health problem in developing countries as well as in developed countries.<sup>[1,2]</sup> The prevalence of obesity and its associated co-morbidities are increasing.<sup>[1]</sup> Although several efforts have been performed to control this problem; however, its prevalence has raised to pandemic proportions.<sup>[2]</sup> There

Copyright: © 2014 Abdolahi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article: Abdolahi H, Iraj B, Mirpourian M, Shariatifar B. Association of neck circumference as an indicator of upper body obesity with cardiometabolic risk factors among first degree relatives of diabetes patients. Adv Biomed Res 2014;3:237. are over a billion overweight and over 400 million obese adults in the world.  $^{\scriptscriptstyle [3]}$ 

There is several health issues related to obesity such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, cancers, osteoarthritis and fatty liver disease.<sup>[4]</sup> In definition, obesity is referred to excess of fat.<sup>[5]</sup> Until now, a direct method for the measurement of body fat is not available and by this reason body fat in daily practice often assessed by means of indirect methods like anthropometric measures including body mass index (BMI).<sup>[5]</sup>

Distribution of obesity is one of the major predictors of metabolic and cardiovascular diseases and it is more accurate than whole body obesity which is assessed by BMI.<sup>[2]</sup> Upper body obesity has more relationship with cardio-metabolic risk factors such as diabetes, glucose intolerance, hyper-insulinemia and hypertriglyceridemia.<sup>[6]</sup>

Several indices have been described for the assessment of upper body obesity such as waist circumference (WC), waist to hip ratio, waist to thigh ratio and sub-scapular to triceps skin fold ratio.<sup>[3,6,7]</sup> These indices have some limitations. For instance, WC is used in several studies however its exact location for measurement has been different among studies. In the other hand, WC varies during the day and also it is not applicable for large sample studies.<sup>[8,9]</sup>

Neck circumference (NC) is recently described as an index for upper body subcutaneous fat.<sup>[7]</sup> Its measurement is easier than WC and also can be helpful for population based studies with large sample sizes. Data about the relation of NC as an indicator of upper abdominal obesity with cardio-metabolic risk factors is lacking. Most of the studies in this field have been performed on normal population and there are not enough data about close relatives of high risk patients such as diabetic patients.<sup>[2,7,10]</sup> In this study, we aimed to determine the relation between NC as an indicator of upper body obesity with cardio-metabolic factors.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Participants and setting

This cross-sectional study was performed at Sedighe Tahere hospital, a referral endocrinology center in Isfahan, Iran. During a period of 6 months, since May 2012 to November 2012, a total number of 213 eligible participants were enrolled in the study. Participants were selected randomly from first degree relatives of diabetes patients who came to our clinic for their diabetes treatment. Individuals aged above 18 years

2

were included in the study. They were excluded if any of the following criteria were present: Severe disability, heart failure, renal failure, consumption of anti-lipid drugs, goiter or other neck lesions and history of neck surgery. Written informed consent has been obtained from all patients for the use of their medical record in research purposes and the protocol of the project has been approved by Ethics Committee of the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences.

#### Measurements

Demographic data about age and sex were obtained from each participant. Height was measured to nearest 0.1 cm and weight measured using a digital scale to nearest 0.1 kg. WC was measured at the umbilicus level after normal breath to the nearest 0.1 cm. BMI was calculated using the formula: Weight/height<sup>2</sup> (kilogram/m<sup>2</sup>). Hip circumference (HC) was measured at the most salient point between the waist and the thigh. Blood pressure (BP) was measured by one of the study investigators using standard mercury sphygmomanometer. In each patient's BP was measured twice after each individual after a 10-15 min rest and the average was used in the analysis. The NC was measured using a plastic tape with head in erect position and eyes facing forward, horizontally at the upper margin of the laryngeal prominence.

Blood sample were obtained after 12 h fasting to measure the fasting plasma glucose (FPG), high density lipoprotein (HDL), low density lipoprotein (LDL), triglyceride (TG) and total cholesterol (TC) concentrations (by commercial available kits; Chemi Enzyme and Pars Azmoon, Iran). Oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) was performed and blood sample were taken 2 h after administration of 75 g glucose. Test results were categorized to:<sup>[8]</sup> first: Impaired fasting glucose was considered as FPG from 100 to 125 mg/dL; second: Impaired glucose tolerance was defined as 2-h plasma glucose from 140 to 199 mg/dL; third: Diabetes was defined by FPG more than 126 mg/dL or abnormal OGTT with plasma glucose ≥200 mg/dL measured 2 h after consuming 75 g glucose.

#### Sample size and statistical analyses

Sample size was calculated as 210 patients considering  $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $\beta = 0.2$ . Statistical analyzes were performed using statistical software (SPSS version 16.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) and P < 0.05 was considered to be significant.

#### RESULTS

A total number of 213 patients were enrolled during the study period including 55 men and 158 women with age ranged from 18 to 75 years; mean age =  $44.7 \pm 8.5$  years. Comparison of demographic, anthropometric and laboratory data between men and women are shown in Table 1. Compared with women, men had lower BMI, greater WC, smaller HC, greater waist to hip ratio, greater NC and lower HDL and higher TG concentrations [Table 1]. There was no statistically significant difference among men and women in OGTT results [Table 2].

The correlation of NC with the demographic, cardiometabolic and anthropometric variables among men and women are presented in Table 3. Those factors which were strongly associated with NC in both genders included weight, BMI, WC and HP (r = 0.420-0.711). There was a weak association between NC with systolic blood pressure in women (r = 0.195) and moderately between NC and diastolic blood pressure in men (r = 0.314). Regarding lipid profile, HDL and TG were associated with NC but only in women (r = -0.268-0.325).

Analyzing the relation between NC and OGTT results showed no statistical significant difference in NC among different results of OGTT in men or women [Table 4].

#### DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to investigate the relationship between NC and the demographic, cardio-metabolic and anthropometric characteristics in the first degree relatives of diabetes patients. Our results showed that NC has strong correlations with BMI, weight and waist and HCs in both men and women. None of the cardio-metabolic risk factors had significant relation with NC in both men and women. However, a significant relation between NC and TG and HDL was found only in women.

Previous studies in this field which carried out in different societies showed different results. A study performed in the Middle East by Ben-Noun et al.<sup>[9]</sup> showed that NC has a significant correlation with BMI, WC and waist to hip ratio similar to our study. However, this study also showed a correlation between NC with LDL, TG, TC in both men and women which was against our results.<sup>[9]</sup> In another study performed in western countries by Nafiu et al.,<sup>[10]</sup> a significant relation was reported between NC and age, BMI and WC. In this study, the cardio-metabolic factors were not evaluated and the study focused on demographic and anthropometric variables.<sup>[10]</sup> Another study in Brazilian population revealed that NC has relation with BMI, WC, HDL and TG in both genders.<sup>[11]</sup> Some other studies also revealed controversial issues: however, in line with our study most of them found the relation between NC with gender and anthropometric characteristics rather than cardiometabolic variables.<sup>[7,10,12]</sup>

Table	1:	Comparison	of	demographic,	anthropometric	and
labora	itoi	ry data betwe	en	men and wome	en	

Demographic,	Men	Women	Р
anthropometric	( <i>N</i> = 55)	( <i>N</i> = 158)	
and laboratory data			
Age (years)	46.34±9.70	44.21±8.13	0.160
Weight (kg)	79.20±9.64	71.87±12.49	< 0.001
Height (cm)	169.94±7.38	156.67±5.85	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m²)	27.45±3.32	29.28±4.81	0.002
Waist circumference (cm)	95.79±7.39	89.20±10.14	< 0.001
Hip circumference (cm)	104.0.6±4.98	107.02±9.92	0.007
Waist to hip ratio	0.92±0.05	0.83±0.06	< 0.001
NC (cm)	39.81±2.26	34.76±2.47	< 0.001
SBP (mmHg)	119.01±14.05	116.56±15.24	0.282
DBP (mmHg)	76.37±11.38	74.60±11.36	0.328
HDL (mg/dL)	39.65±8.90	45.65±11.68	< 0.001
TG (mg/dL)	189.53±130.60	144.09±71.77	0.024
TC (mg/dL)	193.95±36.30	194.35±36.05	0.948
LDL (mg/dL)	120.66±33.55	121.60±32.26	0.871

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. BMI: Body mass index, NC: Neck circumference, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, HDL: High density lipoprotein, TG: Triglyceride, TC: Total cholesterol, LDL: Low density lipoprotein

 Table 2: Oral glucose tolerance test results among study patients

Test results	Men ( <i>N</i> = 55) (%)	Women (N = 158) (%)	Р
Normal	14 (25.46)	44 (27.84)	0.940
IFG	14 (25.46)	28 (17.72)	
IGT	12 (21.81)	45 (28.48)	
Diabetes	15 (27.27)	41 (25.94)	

Data are presented as number (%). IFG: Impaired fasting glucose, IGT: Impaired glucose tolerance test

Table 3: Correlation coefficients of NC with the cardio-metabolic and anthropometric variables

Cardio-metabolic and		NC
anthropometric variables	Men	Women
Age (years)	0.086	0.114
Weight (kg)	0.580**	0.707**
Height (cm)	0.162	0.167*
BMI (kg/m²)	0.475**	0.676**
Waist circumference (cm)	0.480**	0.649**
Hip circumference (cm)	0.464**	0.598**
Waist to hip ratio	0.260	0.207*
SBP (mmHg)	0.201	0.195*
DBP (mmHg)	0.243	0.153
HDL (mg/dL)	-0.231	-0.303**
TG (mg/dL)	0.003	0.325**
TC (mg/dL)	0.008	0.008
LDL (mg/dL)	0.008	-0.058

Data are presented as correlation coefficients from the Pearson test. BMI: Body mass index, SBP: Systolic blood pressure, DBP: Diastolic blood pressure, HDL: High density lipoprotein, LDL: Low density lipoprotein, TG: Triglyceride, TC: Total cholesterol, NC: Neck circumference. \*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01

Abdolahi, et al.: Upper body obesity and cardio-metabolic risk factors

Table 4: NC-U and NC-L in different OGTT results among men and women

Test results	NC					
	Men	Р	Women	Р		
Normal	40.50±1.07	0.533	34.20±2.21	0.119		
IFG	39.82±2.01		34.94±2.82			
IGT	39.70±2.54		34.57±1.91			
Diabetes	39.24±3.00		35.45±2.90			

Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test, NC: Neck circumference, IFG: Impaired fasting glucose, IGT: Impaired glucose tolerance test

Regarding the relation of FPG and glucose intolerance with NC, previous studies evaluated the relation between FPG and glycated hemoglobin with NC. In a study by Zhou *et al.* evaluated the association between some anthropometric indices and cardiometabolic risk factors and they found a significant relation between NC and FPG.<sup>[11]</sup> In another study by Stabe et al., results showed significant relation of NC with FPG, but the relation between NC and glycated hemoglobin was not statistically significant.<sup>[7]</sup> However, these studies had a limitation as none of them performed OGTT and included only the FPG and glycated hemoglobin. Aswathappa et al. evaluated NC in diabetic and non-diabetic patients based on their medical history and found that NC in diabetic patients is significantly higher than non-diabetic ones.<sup>[12]</sup> In contrast with the mentioned study, we found no statistically significant difference in the NC among different results of OGTT.

Our study had a limitation about its sample size and we suggest further multi center studies with larger sample size to evaluate the relation of NC with demographic, anthropometric and cardio-metabolic variables.

#### CONCLUSIONS

NC has significant correlation with gender and anthropometric variables including BMI, weight and waist and HCs in both men and women. However, NC does not differ significantly in patients with different status in OGTT. According to ease of assessment, NC is a potential alternative index for BMI or other anthropometric measures but further studies with larger sample size are required in this regard.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to thank Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. Authors are thankful to Dr. Asgari, Mrs. Zare and Mr. Abyar who helped us in conducting the study and also appreciate the assistance from Dr. Gholamrezaei for editing this report.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Dietz WH, Robinson TN. Clinical practice. Overweight children and adolescents. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2100-9.
- 2. Cornier MA, Després JP, Davis N, Grossniklaus DA, Klein S, Lamarche B, *et al.* Assessing adiposity: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011;124:1996-2019.
- 3. Adeboye B, Bermano G, Rolland C. Obesity and its health impact in Africa: A systematic review. Cardiovasc J Afr 2012;23:512-21.
- 4. Vucenik I, Stains JP. Obesity and cancer risk: Evidence, mechanisms, and recommendations. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2012; 1271:37-43.
- Flodmark CE, Lissau I, Moreno LA, Pietrobelli A, Widhalm K. New insights into the field of children and adolescents' obesity: The European perspective. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2004;28:1189-96.
- 6. Ben-Noun LL, Laor A. Relationship between changes in neck circumference and cardiovascular risk factors. Exp Clin Cardiol 2006;11:14-20.
- Stabe C, Vasques AC, Lima MM, Tambascia MA, Pareja JC, Yamanaka A, et al. Neck circumference as a simple tool for identifying the metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance: Results from the Brazilian Metabolic Syndrome Study. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2013;78:874-81.
- 8. Laffel L, Svoren B. Epidemiology, presentations, and diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus in children and adolescents. In: Basow DS, editor. Waltham, MA: UpToDate; 2013.
- 9. Ben-Noun L, Sohar E, Laor A. Neck circumference as a simple screening measure for identifying overweight and obese patients. Obes Res 2001;9:470-7.
- Nafiu OO, Burke C, Lee J, Voepel-Lewis T, Malviya S, Tremper KK. Neck circumference as a screening measure for identifying children with high body mass index. Pediatrics 2010; 126:e306-10.
- 11. Zhou JY, Ge H, Zhu MF, Wang LJ, Chen L, Tan YZ, *et al.* Neck circumference as an independent predictive contributor to cardio-metabolic syndrome. Cardiovasc Diabetol 2013; 12:76.
- Aswathappa J, Garg S, Kutty K, Shankar V. Neck circumference as an anthropometric measure of obesity in diabetics. N Am J Med Sci 2013;5:28-31.

Source of Support: This study was supported by the Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Conflict of Interest: None declared.

To, The Editor

### Submission of Manuscript for publication

Dear Sir,

We intend to publish an article entitled

in your journal.

On behalf of all the contributors I will act and guarantor and will correspond with the journal from this point onward.

Prior presentation of the data reported in this manuscript:

Organisation Place Date

We have done sufficient work in the field to justify authorship for this manuscript.

We hereby transfer, assign, or otherwise convey all copyright ownership, including any and all rights incidental thereto, exclusively to the journal, in the event that such work is published by the journal.

Thank you, Yours' sincerely,

Name of corresponding contributor

Signature

## Title of the manuscript:

## Type of manuscript: Running title: Contributors:

	First	Middle name	Last	Highest academic	Names of departments and institutions	Email
	name	initial	name	degree	(including city and state)	addresses
1						
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						

### **Corresponding Author:**

Name: Address: Phone numbers: Facsimile numbers: E-mail address:

Total number of pages: Total number of tables: Total number of figures: Total number of supplementary files: Word counts: For abstract:

For the text:

Acknowledgement:

**Conflict of interest:** 

**Financial Support:** 

## Contribution details (to be ticked marked as applicable):

	Contributor 1	Contributor 2	Contributor 3	Contributor 4	Contributor 5	Contributor 6
Concepts						
Design						
Definition of intellectual content						
Literature search						
Clinical studies						
Experimental studies						
Data acquisition						
Data analysis						
Statistical analysis						
Manuscript preparation						
Manuscript editing						
Manuscript review						
Guarantor						