PREVALENCE OF DIABETIC RETINOPATHY IN NEWLY DIAGNOSED TYPE 2 DIABETIC PATIENTS IN ISFAHAN, IRAN

M. Amini, Ashraf Aminorroaya*, H. Safaei, Zhila Behrooz, Azam Teimori

Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract

Background. Retinopathy is a common complication of diabetes and strongly related to the duration of the disease and the quality of its management. Despite this relationship, some studies have reported the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy at diagnosis to be 5-30%.

Aim. To investigate the prevalence of retinopathy in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes and its relation to some association factors in Isfahan, Iran.

Methods. During 2001-2004, all newly diagnosed type 2 diabetics (n= 710) attending Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center, were enrolled, by consecutive patient selection. Everybody accepted our invitation. The patients were examined by an internist and then by an ophthalmologist for retinopathy. Fasting plasma glucose, glycosylated hemoglobin, lipid profile, and 24-hour urinary albumin and creatinine concentrations were measured.

Results. Of 710 patients, 286 were male. Mean age of the patients was 48.8(9.8) years (31-72 years) and median of diabetes duration was 6 months (0.5-12 months), respectively. Nine percent of patients (CI95%: 7-11) [(9.8% of men (CI95%: 6-13) and 8.5% of women (CI95%: 6-11)] had retinopathy (Odds ratio= 0.85, CI95%: 0.51-1.43, P= 0.5). In the final analysis using logistic regression test, body mass index (OR= 0.9, CI 95%: 0.8-1, P= 0.01), diastolic hypertension (OR= 3.9, CI 95%: 1.33-11.7, P= 0.01) and 24-hour urinary albumin concentration (OR= 1.005, CI 95%: 1-1.01, P= 0.01) were identified as association factors for retinopathy.

Conclusions. Retinopathy was moderately prevalent in our patients.

Key words: prevalence, diabetes mellitus type 2, diabetic retinopathy, association factors.

INTRODUCTION

Retinopathy is an important complication of diabetes and the most important cause of blindness in the active population group (1). Retinopathy is strongly *Correspondence to: Ashraf Aminorroaya, MD, Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center, Sedigheh Tahereh Research Complex, Khorram street, Isfahan, Iran, Tel: +98-311-3359933, Fax: +98-311-3373733, E-mail: aminorroaya@med.mui.ac.ir or ashrafaminorroaya@yahoo.com

Acta Endocrinologica (Buc), vol. IV, no. 4, p. 415 - 423, 2008

correlated with the duration of diabetes. In the Wisconsin epidemiologic study III (2), the prevalence of diabetic retinopathy was 15.2% at the time of diagnosis, 28.8% in diabetics with less than 5 years duration of disease, and 77.8% in those with 15 years of diabetes duration. The prevalence of retinopathy in patients with newly diagnosed diabetes has been reported with a wide range, from 5% to more than 30% in different studies (3-6). Several investigations have implicated some risk factors such as uncontrolled glycemia, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, albuminuria, and smoking in the development of retinopathy (7-10). The severity and progression of diabetic retinopathy is also shown to be more aggressive in those patients with retinopathy at the time of diagnosis (11,12).

This study was conducted to investigate the prevalence of retinopathy and its relationship with the above mentioned association factors in the population of newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes in Isfahan, a centrally located city in Iran.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted on 710 consecutive patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes presenting to Isfahan Endocrine and Metabolism Research Center (IEMRC) in 2001-2004. All patients accepted our invitation. Newly diagnosed type 2 diabetics defined as patients with diagnosis of diabetes, which has been done within one year of the study. Diagnosis of diabetes was made according to the American diabetes association (ADA) criteria, using fasting plasma glucose (FPG) measurement, or glucose tolerance test (GTT) (13).

Demographic data and information about date of diabetes diagnosis and smoking were obtained. Height and weight were measured barefoot and in light clothing, by an internist working at IEMRC. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by the square of height (m). Patients with BMI less than 25 kg/m², between 25-29.9 kg/m², and BMI 30 kg/m² were considered as normal, overweight, and obese, respectively (14). Blood pressure was measured on the right arm, in sitting position after 15 minutes of rest using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer. The mean of two readings, five minutes apart, was recorded as the patient's blood pressure. Systolic blood pressure 130 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure 80 mmHg was considered as hypertension according to ADA criteria (15). Patients who were on antihypertensive medications were also considered to be hypertensive. In all patients FPG, 2-hour postprandial plasma glucose (2hpp), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C), plasma lipid profile, and 24hour urinary albumin and creatinine concentrations were measured. Plasma glucose was measured with the glucose oxidase method. Total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and triglyceride were measured using Pars Azmun and Chem Enzyme kits. Low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol was calculated using Friedewald's formula (16).

HbA1C was measured using a DSS machine via the ion exchange

chromatography method. Direct and indirect ophthalmoscopy was performed after pupil dilation by an ophthalmologist to examine the patients for retinopathy. Retinopathy was classified as follows: a) mild retinopathy: any sign of microaneurysm, punctate or linear retinal hemorrhage or hard exudate, b) moderate to severe retinopathy: the above findings together with cotton-wool spots, venous beading, and microvascular lesions in the retina; the latter types have been described in the results as nonproliferative or background retinopathy; c) proliferative retinopathy: neovascular and fibrous tissue formation in the retina, intravitreal or preretinal hemorrhage, d) maculopathy: macular edema or ischemic maculopathy. Patients with corneal opacity, advanced cataract, or history of retinal lesions were excluded. 24-hour urinary albumin was measured with an autoanalyzer using Randox kits. 24-hour urinary albumin levels less than 30 mg, 30-300 mg, and more than 300 mg were considered as normal, microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria (American Diabetes Association, 2003). Urinary samples were assayed for albuminuria when there was no evidence of infection or hematuria in urinalysis and the specific gravity of the sample was greater than 1015. When the 24-hour urinary albumin concentration was more than 30 mg, another sample would be taken and analyzed at least two months later. The presence of more than 30 mg of albumin in the second sample would confirm abnormal urinary albumin excretion.

Smoking was defined according to WHO protocols. In this study, smokers were defined as individuals who regularly smoked at least one cigarette daily (daily smokers) (14).

Statistical analysis

Quantitative data with normal distribution were compared using Student's ttest and expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD). Those data which were not normally distributed were analyzed by Mann-Whitney test and expressed as median (range). It was included the comparison between males and females and those with and without retinopathy. Qualitative variables were compared using Chi-square test. Covariates entered in logistic regression test were age, sex, body mass index, systolic hypertension, diastolic hypertension, fasting plasma glucose, 2hpp, HbA_{1C}, cholesterol, triglyceride (TG), low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, highdensity lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, 24 hour urinary albumin (its logarithmic form) and albuminuria to determine their relationship with retinopathy. As the distribution of 24 hour urinary albumin concentration was not normally distributed, we entered its logarithmic form to logistic regression test. Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 10. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of IEMRC and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

RESULTS

Of 710 studied patients, 286 (40.3%) were male and 424 (59.7%) were female.

	All	Male	Female	P value
	(n=710)	(n=286)	(n=424)	
Duration of diabetes(median, range) (months)	6 (0.5-12)	6 (0.5-12)	6 (0.5-12)	0.1
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	28.6 (4.5)	27.3 (3.8)	29.5 (4.7)	0.001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	10.5 (2.7)	11.1(3.3)	10.1 (3.2)	0.001
2-hour postprandial glucose (mmol/L)	14.1 (3.1)	14.9 (4.3)	13.5 (4.1)	0.001
HbA1 _C (%)	9.5 (2.3)	9.9 (2.3)	9.3 (2.2)	0.001
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.9 (0.8)	5.7 (1.5)	6.1 (1.3)	0.001
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.3 (0.9)	3.2 (0.9)	3.5 (0.9)	0.001
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.1 (0.2)	1.0 (0.2)	1.2 (0.2)	0.001
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	2.8 (0.9)	2.9 (1.9)	2.7 (1.4)	0.2
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	124 (16.2)	122 (15.4)	125 (16.7)	0.004
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	73 (13.6)	72 (12.9)	75 (13.7)	0.004
24-hour urinary albumin (mg)	58 (9-400)	74(12-400)	50(9-382)	0.001
Retinopathy (n (%))	64 (9%)	28 (9.8%)	36 (8.5%)	0.5

 Table 1. Mean (SD) or median (range) or number (%) of characteristics of patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes

The patients had a mean age of 48.8(9.8) years [49.9(9.8) years in men and 47(9.7) years in women], with no statistically significant difference between the two groups. Median (range) duration of diabetes was 6 (0.5-12) months. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the patients.

The prevalence of retinopathy was 9% in all patients (CI 95%: 7-11) [(9.8% of men (CI95%: 6-13) and 8.5% of women (CI 95%: 6-11)] (Odds ratio= 0.85, CI95%: 0.51-1.43, P= 0.5). Fifty-three patients (7.5%) had mild retinopathy and 11 patients (1.5%) had moderate to severe retinopathy; both were considered as having background retinopathy in the final analysis. We observed no cases of macular edema and/or proliferative diabetic retinopathy. HbA_{1c} in males was higher than in females (Table 1).

Patients with and without retinopathy were not different in mean of FPG, 2hpp, HBA_{1C} , and cholesterol, TG, HDL-c and LDL-c. However, mean age, BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and median of 24-hour urinary albumin in patients with retinopathy were higher than in those without (Table 2). Median duration of diabetes was not different in patients with [8(0.5-12) months] and without [6(0.5-12) months] retinopathy.

The prevalence of retinopathy increased with the decrease in BMI and the presence of hypertension. Final analysis was performed using logistic regression. After matching for other covariates mentioned in the method section, the independent retinopathy-related variables were BMI (OR= 0.9, CI 95%: 0.8-1, P= 0.01), diastolic hypertension (OR= 3.9, CI 95%: 1.33-11.7, P= 0.01) and 24 hour

Retinopathy in newly diagnosed type 2 diabetic patients in Iran

	Without	With	P value
	retinopathy	retinopathy	
	(n= 646)	(n= 64)	
Age (years)	48.6(9.7)	51.9(10.9)	0.01
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	28.8(4.5)	26.7(3.8)	0.0001
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	10.4(3.3)	11.1(3.1)	0.1
2-hour postprandial glucose (mmol/L)	14.0(4.2)	14.8(4.1)	0.1
HbA1 _C (%)	9.5(2.3)	9.9(2)	0.1
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	5.9(1.3)	6.2(1.5)	0.06
Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.3(0.9)	3.6(0.8)	0.07
High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.1(0.2)	1.1(0.2)	0.7
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	2.8(1.6)	2.9(1.6)	0.7
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	123.2(15.8)	130.6(18.4)	0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	72.8(13.2)	79.3(13.9)	0.0001
24-hour urinary albumin (mg)	56 (9-400)	103 (12-400)	0.001

Table 2. Comparison of mean (SD) or median (range) of quantitative variables studied in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes with and without retinopathy

urinary albumin concentration (OR= 1.005, CI 95%: 1-1.01, P= 0.01). Using multiple logistic regression analysis, to adjust for FPG, 2hpp glucose and HbA_{1c}, we found that BMI was an independent associated risk variable for retinopathy. P-values were 0.335, 0.336 and 0.36, respectively, for the above mentioned variables.

DISCUSSION

In this study, the prevalence of retinopathy in patients with less than 1 year duration of diabetes was 9%. In our study, about 60% of patients are females. Women in all parts of our society stick more to their treatment than men. It is not exclusive to diabetes. It can explain why women are included in the study more, while the method of sampling was consecutive to patient selection.

Hypertension and albuminuria were the important determinants of retinopathy. Studies in different parts of the world have reported a different prevalence of retinopathy in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes.

The prevalence of retinopathy at the time of diagnosis of diabetes was reported at 21% and 28.3% in the studies conducted in China (17) and Taiwan (18), respectively. Two studies in India reported prevalence of 6.7% and 7.3% and a study in Australia and another in the US reported prevalence rates of 6.2% and 10.2%, respectively (19-22). In the UKPDS study (8), which used advanced methods such as photography in conjunction with ophthalmoscopy, 39% of males

and 35% of females with type 2 diabetes had microaneurysm in at least one eye; 8% of men and 4% of women had cotton wool spots or microvascular lesions.

The prevalence of retinopathy in diabetic patients with a duration of diabetes more than 12 years was 40.7% in Isfahan, Iran (23). The low prevalence of retinopathy observed in the current study compared with some previous reports may be due to racial, demographic factors. However, the methods of detecting retinopathy and diagnosing diabetes used in different studies may be the most important reasons. For example, the prevalence of retinopathy detected by photography in conjunction with ophthalmoscopy reported in UKPDS study was rather high (8). The differences between findings of those studies, which have reported a higher prevalence of retinopathy, using a similar method of detection, are most likely due to longstanding undiagnosed diabetes.

In a study, the presence of diabetes was reported 4-7 years before its diagnosis (24). In another study in Hong Kong, which was conducted about prevalence of retinopathy on newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes since 1990 till 1994, increasing retinopathy prevalence was reported to be 14.8%, 13%, 24.5%, 32.3% and 35.4%, in consecutive years. However, it decreased to 8.2% and 7.4% in the following two years (1995-1996) (25). This decrease may be attributed to increased public awareness, and better and earlier diagnosis of diabetes. The prevalence of retinopathy in this study was lower than in China and Taiwan (17,18).

In our study, a higher prevalence of retinopathy was observed in patients with lower BMI, and final analysis revealed a statistically significant reverse relationship between BMI and retinopathy. The relationship between retinopathy and BMI has been reported as controversial in a different population study. In a study in the United Arab Emirates, there was no statistically associated relationship between retinopathy and BMI (26). In another study in Thailand, the prevalence of retinopathy was increased with a higher body weight, and poor metabolic control and hypertension. The authors concluded that obesity affects retinopathy indirectly *via* its effect on metabolic control (27).

Just the opposite of what was reported in Thailand, there was an inverse relationship between retinopathy and BMI in the research reported by Nguyen and colleagues in Australia, similar to the present study (28). This relation was still observed after adjusting for fasting plasma glucose. Nguyen et al. concluded that BMI represents the insulin secretory function regardless of the glycemic status (28). We used multiple logistic regression analysis, adjusting for fasting plasma glucose, 2hpp glucose and HbA1c. Body mass index was an independent associated risk variable for retinopathy. It is concluded that the effect of BMI on retinopathy is independent of glycemic status.

Our study was performed on newly diagnosed type 2 diabetics. Physicians and people are aware of obesity as a risk factor of diabetes. It is supposed that people with higher BMI are screened for diabetes more frequently. However, people with lower BMI will be diagnosed late when they are symptomatic, and complications of diabetes, such as retinopathy, have already developed. Researchers have highlighted the increased prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in patients with poor glycemic control (8, 29, 30). In this study, we observed no difference in FPG, 2hpp, and HbA_{1C} between the patients with, and those without retinopathy.

Hypertension is a common finding in type 2 diabetic patients. In "Hypertension in Diabetes Study" (HDS), 38% of patients were hypertensive (31). Some studies have referred to hypertension as the underlying etiology of retinopathy and maculopathy (32). In our study diastolic hypertension was the only strong independent retinopathy-related variable. Some studies have reported the relationship between hyperlipidemia and the prevalence of microvascular complications, including retinopathy; they have also related increased serum cholesterol to the formation of cotton wool spots (33-35). In the present study, total cholesterol, LDL and triglyceride levels were not significantly different in patients with from those without retinopathy.

Usually, microvascular complications develop concurrently throughout the body. Several studies have reported an association between retinopathy and abnormal albumin excretion (36-38). In this study, increased albumin excretion was one of the determinants of retinopathy. Some patients in this study were on antihypertensive and hyperlipidemic medication prior to the diagnosis of diabetes; hence these findings may have been underestimated. Likewise, as diet therapy and glucose-lowering medications had already been started for some patients, plasma glucose and HbA1C levels might have been controlled better. However, the relationship between retinopathy and glycemic control status may be obscured. In our study, the retina was examined by direct and indirect ophthalmoscopy after pupil dilation by an ophthalmologist. There are some limitations by using this simple way to define the existence or severity of retinopathy. When the pupil was not fully dilated, the retinal lesion at the periphery may not be detected and the reproducibility or accuracy of a single examiner would be challenged.

In conclusion, retinopathy is moderately prevalent in our patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes and has a correlation with diastolic hypertension.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Majid Abyar, computer services manager of IEMRC, for his kind assistance and COX Inc.for the technical help in writing the manuscript.

References

1. Moss SE, Klein R, Klein BE. The 14-year incidence of visual loss in a diabetic population. Ophthalmology 1998; 105 (6):998-1003.

2. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. III. Prevalence and risk of diabetic retinopathy when age at diagnosis is 30 or more years. Arch Ophthalmol 1984; 102 (4):527-532.

4. Klein BE, Moss SE, Klein R, Surawicz TS. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. XIII. Relationship of serum cholesterol to retinopathy and hard exudate. Ophthalmology 1991; 98 (8):1261-1265.

^{3.} Ciulla TA, Amador AG, Zinman B. Diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema: pathophysiology, screening, and novel therapies. Diabetes Care 2003; 26 (9):2653-2664.

5. Owens DR, Volund A, Jones D, Shannon AG, Jones IR, Birtwell AJ, Luzio S, Williams S, Dolben

J, Creagh FN. Retinopathy in newly presenting non-insulin-dependent (type 2) diabetic patients. Diabetes Res 1988; 9 (2):59-65.

6. West KM, Erdreich LJ, Stober JA. A detailed study of risk factors for retinopathy and nephropathy in diabetes. Diabetes 1980; 29 (7):501-508.

7. Davis TME, Cull CA, Holman RR. Relationship Between Ethnicity and Glycemic Control, Lipid Profiles, and Blood Pressure During the First 9 Years of Type 2 Diabetes: U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS 55). Diabetes Care 2001; 24 (7):1167-1174.

8. Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, Stratton IM, Manley SE, Holman RR, Matthews DR, Turner RC, for the United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study. United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study, 30: Diabetic Retinopathy at Diagnosis of Non-Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus and Associated Risk Factors. Arch Ophthalmol 1998; 116 (3):297-303.

9. Lee ET, Lee VS, Kingsley RM, Lu M, Russell D, Asal NR, Wilkinson CP, Bradford RH, Jr. Diabetic retinopathy in Oklahoma Indians with NIDDM. Incidence and risk factors. Diabetes Care 1992; 15 (11):1620-1627.

10. Stratton IM, Kohner EM, Aldington SJ, Turner RC, Holman RR, Manley SE, Matthews DR. UKPDS 50: risk factors for incidence and progression of retinopathy in Type II diabetes over 6 years from diagnosis. Diabetologia 2001; 44 (2):156-163.

11. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. X. Four-year incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy when age at diagnosis is 30 years or more. Arch Ophthalmol 1989; 107 (2):244-249.

12. Kohner EM, Stratton IM, Aldington SJ, Turner RC, Matthews DR. Microaneurysms in the development of diabetic retinopathy (UKPDS 42). UK Prospective Diabetes Study Group. Diabetologia 1999; 42 (9): 1107-1112.

13. American Diabetes Association. Clinical Practice Recommendation. Diabetes Care 2003, 26:S 83-S 86.

14. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of consultation obesity. Geneva: 1998.

15. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2008. Diabetes Care 2008; 31: S12-S54.

16. Friedewald WT, Levy RI, Fredrickson DS. Estimation of the concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in plasma, without use of the preparative ultracentrifuge. Clin Chem 1972; 18 (6):499-502.

17. Liu DP, Molyneaux L, Chua E, Wang YZ, Wu CR, Jing H, Hu LN, Liu YJ, Xu ZR, Yue DK. Retinopathy in a Chinese population with type 2 diabetes: factors affecting the presence of this complication at diagnosis of diabetes. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 2002; 56 (2):125-131.

18. Chang CJ, Lu FH, Yang YC, Wu JS, Wu TJ, Chen MS, Chuang LM, Tai TY. Epidemiologic study of type 2 diabetes in Taiwan. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 2000; 50 (Supplement 2):S49-S59.

19. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Linton KL. The Beaver Dam Eye Study. Retinopathy in adults with newly discovered and previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus. Ophthalmology 1992; 99 (1):58-62.

20. Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Vijay V, Viswanathan M. Diabetic retinopathy at the time of diagnosis of NIDDM in south Indian subjects. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 1996; 32 (1-2):111-114.

21. Rema M, Deepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of retinopathy at diagnosis among type 2 diabetic patients attending a diabetic centre in south India. Br J Ophthalmol 2000; 84 (9):1058-1060.

22. Tapp RJ, Shaw JE, Harper CA, de Court, Balkau B, McCarty DJ, Taylor HR, Welborn TA, Zimmet PZ. The Prevalence of and Factors Associated With Diabetic Retinopathy in the Australian Population. Diabetes Care 2003; 26 (6):1731-1737.

23. Ashtari A GHSMAnFNM. Epidemiology of diabetic retinopathy in known cases of type II diabetes mellitus in Isfahan in 1997: prevalence and risk factors. Pazhohesh dar Oloomeh Pezeshki Journal (In Persian language) 2008; 5:21-27.

24. Harris MI, Klein R, Welborn TA, Knuiman MW. Onset of NIDDM occurs at least 4-7 yr before clinical diagnosis. Diabetes Care 1992; 15 (7):815-819.

25. Wang WQ, Ip TP, Lam KSL. Changing prevalence of retinopathy in newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus patients in Hong Kong. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice 1998; 39 (3):185-191.

26. Al-Maskari F, El-Sadig M. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the United Arab Emirates: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Ophthalmol 2007; 7:11.

27. Katusic D, Tomic M, Jukic T, Kordic R, Sikic J, Vukojevic N, Saric B. Obesity—a risk factor for diabetic retinopathy in type 2 diabetes? Coll Antropol 2005; 29 Suppl 1:47-50.

28. Nguyen HT, Luzio SD, Dolben J, West J, Beck L, Coates PA, Owens DR. Dominant risk factors for retinopathy at clinical diagnosis in patients with type II diabetes mellitus. Journal of Diabetes and its Complications 1996; 10 (4):211-219.

29. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group: Progression Of Retinopathy With Intensive Versus Conventional Treatment In The Diabetes Control And Complications Trial. Ophthalmology 1995; 102:647-661.

30. Klein R, Klein BE, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL. Glycosylated hemoglobin predicts the incidence and progression of diabetic retinopathy. JAMA 1988; 260 (19):2864-2871.

31. Hypertension in Diabetes Study (HDS): I. Prevalence of hypertension in newly presenting type 2 diabetic patients and the association with risk factors for cardiovascular and diabetic complications. J Hypertens 1993; 11(3):309-317.

32. van Leiden HA, Dekker JM, Moll AC, Nijpels G, Heine RJ, Bouter LM, Stehouwer CDA, Polak BCP. Blood Pressure, Lipids, and Obesity Are Associated With Retinopathy: The Hoorn Study. Diabetes Care 2002; 25 (8):1320-1325.

Chew EY, Klein ML, Ferris FL, III, Remaley NA, Murphy RP, Chantry K, Hoogwerf BJ, Miller D. Association of elevated serum lipid levels with retinal hard exudate in diabetic retinopathy. Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) Report 22. Arch Ophthalmol 1996; 114 (9):1079-1084.
 Chowdhury TA, Hopkins D, Dodson PM, Vafidis GC. The role of serum lipids in exudative diabetic maculopathy: is there a place for lipid lowering therapy? Eye 2002; 16 (6):689-693.

35. Klein R, Barrett-Connor EL, Blunt BA, Wingard DL. Visual impairment and retinopathy in people with normal glucose tolerance, impaired glucose tolerance, and newly diagnosed NIDDM. Diabetes Care 1991; 14 (10):914-918.

36. Agardh CD, Agardh E, Torffvit O. The prognostic value of albuminuria for the development of cardiovascular disease and retinopathy: a 5-year follow-up of 451 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 1996; 32 (1-2):35-44.

37. Cruickshanks KJ, Ritter LL, Klein R, Moss SE. The association of microalbuminuria with diabetic retinopathy. The Wisconsin Epidemiologic Study of Diabetic Retinopathy. Ophthalmology 1993; 100 (6): 862-867.

38. Savage S, Estacio RO, Jeffers B, Schrier RW. Urinary albumin excretion as a predictor of diabetic retinopathy, neuropathy, and cardiovascular disease in NIDDM. Diabetes Care 1996; 19 (11):1243-1248.